# Tim Clairs Principal Advisor UNDP REDD+ Team















## **Coordination of Readiness Support**

- Common National REDD+ Steering Committees across initiatives
- Coordination units across initiatives
- National REDD+ Strategies:
  - Drivers
  - Scale & scope (link to FREL/FRL)
  - Priority PAMs
  - Warsaw Framework requirement







# **Challenges for National REDD+ Strategies**

- To be sufficient to drive Phase 2 implementation
- To be seen as more than one Ministry's wish-list
- To be embedded in national development process and linked to NDC
- To provide a framework to guide investments across sectors
- To influence donors to align their support







#### **Coordination of Phase 2 Investments**

- Overarching programme or investment plan to guide all donors & sources of funds
- Theory of change & results-framework. Explain how PAMs will achieve REDD+ results
- Clear management arrangements
- Investment schedule
- Common set of criteria







### **UNDP** support to coordination in Peru

- Needs assessment identifying requirements of Norway/Germany (JDI), FCPF, UNFCCC
- Align financial and human resources
- Harmonization of National Forest & CC Strategy and JDI
  - Implementation plans
  - M&E frameworks










# Central African Forest Initiative (CAFI) DRC Example



- Support based on National REDD+ Investment Framework
  - Including FCPF CF, FIP etc
  - Donor buy-in
- Funds channelled through a National REDD+ Fund (FONAREDD)
- Ministry of Finance leadership
- Sectoral & integrated investment programs





#### **Conclusions**

- National REDD+ Strategies or Action Plans need to be transformed into investment frameworks
- Programmatic-approach may be more suitable for Phase 2 investments than "project" interventions of donors
- Need for a lead donor (such as GCF) to insist on a CAFIlike approach of national frameworks to foster coordination





















